

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/996,663	11/29/2001	Daniel Raymond Cerone	8794	4856
27752 7	7590 06/16/2004		EXAMINER	
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			3727	
CINCINNATI, OH 45224			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/996,663	CERONE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robin A. Hylton	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication  Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lition.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	ı <u>24 March 2004</u> .	•			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ∑					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Ex Applicant may not request that any objected to by the Ex 10) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex 11)	ithdrawn from consideration.  and/or election requirement.  aminer.  accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	48) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is insufficient structure set forth in the claims to determine a container body or the ramp, how the ramp defines more than one plane, and the ramp's function with respect to the other parts of the container. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. Therefore, it is suggested the claims be amended to include structural details which more clearly set forth the claimed invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hupp (WO 01/51378).

To the degree set forth in the claims and given the broadest, reasonable interpretation, the inclined surface of the fastener is a ramp. Moreover, the portion 64 is also a ramp that extends from the first end of the closure further having an inclined surface extending out of the plane of the fastener.

Regarding claim 17, a portion of the fastener lies multiple plans, thus defining more than one plane.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/996,663

Art Unit: 3727

#### Conclusion

- 5. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703) 872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 6. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

expire	d, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:
Patent a	I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No is being facsimiled to The U.S. and Trademark Office via fax number (703) 872-7306 on the date shown below:
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate
	Signature
	Date

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1208. The examiner works a flexible schedule, but can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young, can be reached on (703) 308-2572.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Technology Center 3700 Customer Service Office at (703) 306-5648.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

RAH June 12, 2004

> Primary Examiner GAU 3727